

The Scam of Our Lifetime

Global Warming Caused by Human-made CO2?

By Bill Bell

The idea that humans are causing global warming is little more than nonsense. The goal of the perpetrators is a transfer of wealth with the apparent forfeiture of power to a proposed environmental world government formed by the United Nations. A possible vote on this socio-political concept could be held in Copenhagen, Denmark, in December.

This would be the abandonment of everything hard working, decent individuals have accomplished over hundreds of years, and satisfies only the cravings of a power hungry, unscrupulous intelligentsia that somehow believe they should rule the world. The cost will be horrendous. Unbelievably, the British, led to believe by a fear-mongering socialist government that their nation would soon disappear beneath the sea, passed a Climate Change bill which that same government concedes will cost 18 billion pounds a year. Tax on a new car in England is already over 5,000 pounds.

A recent study commissioned by the Toronto Dominion Bank to the Suzuki Foundation and the Pembina Institute projected a cost to Canadians at between \$46-\$72 billion dollars over the next 10 years.

The Harvard Business School recently concluded that consumers' energy costs using cap and trade (one of the current proposals for reducing CO2) will increase the energy costs of a family of four by \$6,800 US per year by 2025.

Why should Canadians grovel in fear and be brow beaten into actions that have no rational explanation or purpose? Someone said "we must never burn our bridges" but clearly this is a time for everyone to voice their opinion no matter whom they antagonize, for as Martin Luther King Jr. said, "Our lives begin to die the day we become silent about things that matter". Adolf Hitler took power in 1933 to the detriment of the entire world because no one cared and if common sense is not discovered soon we are headed for a similar nightmare, albeit without guns and other weapons of war.

Briefly, here is a little history of this horror story. Temperature showed a small increase between 1975 and 1998, but we know it had gone both up and down much more precipitously many times in the past. Secretary General U. Thant, tapped Maurice Strong (yes, the same Maurice Strong who engineered the National Energy Program that had a very detrimental effect on Western Canada) to organize the Stockholm Conference on Human Environment or the First Energy Summit. Strong gathered a few climatologists, environmentalists and political activists to form the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) which in retrospect appears to have been little more than a plausible excuse for world domination by the United Nations. Dr. Neil Hutton states, "The IPCC never made a multidisciplinary effort to determine the oscillatory nature of climate change, its history, and its principal drivers."

A few brief comments on Strong, the man who started this debacle, and I quote, "The Great Depression left me very radical". In Strong's musings, "North America will be Hell on Earth - - - New Orleans will be ravaged by tropical diseases to such an extent that it becomes little more than a shrinking fortress held only with poisonous amounts of lethal pesticides". In Los Angeles, "water vendors with armed guards roam the streets" and "Florida will have fallen into the sea." Strong further states, "Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized nations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"

Initially encouraged by huge numbers of research dollars, some well meaning and highly respected scientists were attracted to the IPCC such as Dr. James Hansen, the NASA scientist who inaugurated the Global Warming scare in 1988, and believed initially catastrophic warming would be caused by CO2. By 2000 he admitted he had significantly exaggerated any risk from increasing CO2 levels. Not a single catastrophe predicted by this group has ever occurred, but that has not deterred them from using fear mongering to build their case for global warming. There was the Michael Mann hockey stick, a computer-massaged compilation of tree ring data, supposedly showing that air temperature had been stable for 900 years then rose dramatically in the 20th century. This became the poster child of the IPCC until discredited by Canadians Ross McKittrick and Stephen McIntyre. Despite the warnings and cautions of outstanding scientists Richard Lindzen, Hendrik Tennekes, Freeman Dyson and others, climate research has come to rely on computer generated simulated models whose predictions prove nothing and are evidence of nothing (Hutton 2009).

Australia's highly decorated scientist Ian Plimer recently published a book "Heaven and Earth, Global Warming, the Missing Science", which clearly outlines the inadequate and scientifically unsupportable claims made by the IPCC reports. This book led to the Australian Senate failing to pass cap and trade legislation which may yet lead to a general election and the possible defeat of Prime Minister Kevin Rudd, who since explains he knows the science of climate change is settled because 4,000 scientists stood behind the most recent IPCC reports. Lawrence Solomon, Executive Director of Energy Probe, takes exception to this in a recent issue of the Financial Post. He quotes John McLean, an Australian analyst who has done detailed work on the numbers, and

concludes the all important Chapter 9 of said report, "Understanding and Attributing Climate Change", had only 53 authors and received comment from 55 individuals and reviews leading McLean to conclude that only about 60 people, not 4,000, "explicitly supported the claim made by the IPCC that global warming represents a threat to the planet".

In Japan the Japan Society of Energy and Resources issued an advisory that said, "global warming is not man made," and in Germany some of its most influential scientists wrote to Chancellor Angela Merkel stating there is no evidence to support anthropogenic (human caused) warming.

Vaclav Klaus, President of the Czech Republic quotes Lord Monckton, "The correct policy to address a non-problem is to have the correct policy to do nothing". Klaus states, "We have only two ways out, salvation through carbon capping or prosperity through freedom, unhampered human activity, productivity and hard work. I vote for the second option."

McKittrick states, "The IPCC review process is nothing at all like what the public has been told. Conflicts of interest are endemic, critical evidence is systematically ignored and there are no effective checks and balances against bias and distortion."

Many of our University of Calgary scientists appear to have taken a different position and believe CO2 is causing catastrophic global warming. One of their biologists editorialized in the Calgary Herald that we are all stupid for not believing in CO2 caused global warming. The University of Calgary has an entire department, "Institute for Sustainable Energy, Environment and Economy" (ISEEE) devoted to all things related to Carbon Capture and Storage. This department is under the leadership of Dr. David Keith. Keith states in a recent pamphlet, "Carbon emissions from burning fossil fuels are heating the planet", and, "my ideas are more grandiose, but so is the problem of global warming". Dr. Kevin Trenberth recently spoke at the university on the invitation of ISEEE. Trenberth was a lead author on the 1995, 2000, and 2007 Scientific Assessment of Climate Change reports for the IPCC, yet he adamantly refused to debate the validity of the basic climate change science behind his views. According to a recent U of C publication, Keith has a new invention that will "pull CO2 out of thin air". Keith was made president of a new company to develop the technology and with a \$3 million "angel" investment states, "The market for carbon capture technology could reach \$1 billion per year within 20 years", and, "We think we have a good shot at participating in that market."

The Alberta government has many ways to dispose of money, but let me reference just two. One is "Hot Air for Cash", actually called CROP (Carbon Reduction Offset Projects). You see, by tilling the land less deeply or less often it supposedly either reduces CO2 emissions or absorbs more CO2. This apparently generates credits for the farm land which can be sold, in the instance of a Strathmore farmer, to a company expelling CO2 from their coal fired generators, namely Epcor. (A form of cap and trade with a broker taking a profit from both the buyer and seller.) You must be pleased to know nothing changes at Epcor as the supposedly harmful gases continue to pour out the chimney, but the farmer receives \$100,000. Albertans are now all ecstatic because they save mother earth by paying the \$100,000 in extra how many thousands of acres there are in Alberta and to what extent your power bill might grow? No more cattle grazing land, for the farmer will now grow corn for biofuel on the newly tilled land and the cost to fill your gas tank increases, while the price of imported grain in places like Africa rises dramatically.

Two is, "The Alberta Carbon Capture and Storage Fund" which has \$2 billion dollars to give away for disposing of CO2, of which the first \$745 million went to Shell Canada. Why not at least demand the CO2 be injected into an oil producing reservoir to yield both additional oil and associated royalties for all Albertans? After the donation of Albertans' tax dollars the Alberta government representative is quoted as saying, "We have much to be proud of at the Copenhagen Conference in December"; meanwhile the Alberta Auditor General believes it is not sufficient.

We in Canada have a tremendous opportunity not only to save ourselves, but to set an example for the entire world. It is becoming increasingly obvious that contrary to the statements by IPCC scientists, there is much agreement among independent world class scientists, of many disciplines, that CO2 has very little to do with the slight rise in global temperatures. The stakes are simply too high to allow the politics of Copenhagen to be an issue, and we ask all Provincial governments and the Federal government, as well as all concerned citizens to review the information available and become vocal on this matter. In my estimation this scam is beyond anything New York financier Bernie Madoff could have ever wished for and the

perpetrators will never be punished. Why not contribute to bringing world class scientists to one of our universities, or maybe for the dissemination of the scientific papers published by Hutton and others, so that science can be quoted and debated chapter and verse without involving individual personalities. It is time we made a new beginning.

Time for facts:

(1) The temperature worldwide, as measured by satellite data that does not lie, has not been rising for ten consecutive years and has actually been dropping for four. Lord Monckton, an expert recently speaking in Calgary, projects maybe 0.5 degrees difference in the next 150 years.

(2) Recent satellite surveys of the Arctic show the ice sheet expanding, not shrinking, over the last two years, but there will always be reversals, and yes - wind, tides, and currents are constantly creating a changing picture

(3) Sea levels around the world are remaining relatively steady despite the millions of tons of ice the scientists say are melting into the oceans. Nils-Axel Morner, past president of the Commission on Sea Levels recently stated, "Sea level has remained stable for the last 30 years." The measured long term increase is about 2 to 3 millimeters per year.

(4) Atmospheric CO2 is a natural trace gas essential for plant and animal life and at current levels is probably a very positive thing. Monckton states, "Climate panels on climate change are totally out of date and even a doubling of CO2 in the next 150 years is going to be one sixth of that predicted by the IPCC." For those really interested, "The CO2 we are trying to dispose of originated in the atmosphere in the first place and was sequestered naturally through geological time to the point that the Quaternary Period we now live in has an atmosphere with the lowest CO2 levels in all of Geological History". (Hutton, 2009)

Dr. Neil Hutton (see www.cspg.org for the text of his ten publications) further states, "The sun has without question been the driver of the Earth's climate for the last 4 billion years and only since has there been a coincidental although poor correlation with CO2 as climate recovered from the cold of the little Ice Age. Solar science documents show unequivocally that the most active sun spot cycles occurred in the last half of the 20th century exactly the period where warming is attributed to CO2. However, sun spot cycles are variable and cyclical, and when the sun becomes quiescent the climate cools. Three solar minima occurred during the Little Ice Age, 1350-1850, the longest of these, the Maunder Minimum, starting in 1625 and lasting almost a century with very few sun spots. The Maunder Minimum was followed by a smaller event, the Dalton Minimum, from 1790-1835."

"The sun now has had 750 sun spot free days, the longest quiescent state in the last two hundred years with characteristics virtually identical to the onset of the Dalton Minimum, which was a period of extremely cold winters freezing major rivers such as the Thames, Hudson and the Mississippi 200 miles south of St. Louis." (Hutton - Climate Change X, C.S.P.G. Reservoir Nov. 2009.)

It is mind boggling that millions of people around the world should get so concerned about a temperature increase of one degree over the past one hundred years that they are prepared to live in poverty if necessary to try to correct it. Why are responsible people not speaking out? Millions could die of economic starvation rather than global warming.

It makes no sense that just because the Alberta Government has chosen to believe the IPCC, whose vision of global warming has been significantly discredited, that all Canadians must follow like lemmings over a cliff. This clearly has nothing to do with science but politics, politics, politics and money, money, money. We inherited a beautiful world that got along without us for billions of years, and the sun has been there for all that time creating both hot and cold periods. It is time those promoting global warming as being driven by CO2 are discredited for the science is unproved and seemingly it is they that advocate the destruction of the world as we know it.

Please, email, write, or phone your Premier and/or Prime Minister demanding the issue of the questionable scientific underpinnings of global warming be thoroughly discussed and debated in your province and your country, before any additional funds are spent on any CO2 abatement measure, let alone supporting any proposals made at Copenhagen.

Those wishing copies of this article can acquire same by email to realscience@nucleus.com.

Bill Bell is a Professional Geologist.